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A short history on Small Nuclear Reactors

Heisenberg’s Leipzig L-IV; 1942
(Sub-critical pile; also the world’s first reactor accident)

USS Nautilus; 1955
(World’s first nuclear submarine)

AECL Nuclear Battery proposal; 1988
(600 KWe AECL proposal)

or; “Planes, Trains, Automobiles...and lots of Boats”
2 Billion years ago; Oklo, Gabon

Geological situation in Gabon leading to natural nuclear fission reactors

1. High Uranium concentrations
2. Porous Sandstone
3. Uranium ore layer
4. Granite

2 Billion years ago natural uranium contained \( \sim 3\% \) U-235 by isotopic content. The naturally occurring content of U235 was even greater at the creation of the universe, and is slowly decaying. At the present time it exists at 0.7% in Natural Uranium.

In the Oklo natural reactors water percolated through the bedrock, and collected in the Uranium deposits. The light (Natural) water provided a moderating effect, and the portions of the ore deposit with high concentrations of uranium became critical. The ore deposits effectively became naturally occurring, light water reactors.
Early research into Nuclear Fission

1805 John Dalton proposes the theory of the atom, and publishes his list of atomic weights.
1898 Pierre and Marie Curie discover Radium
1899 Ernest Rutherford classifies Alpha, and Beta radiation.
1900 Gamma rays discovered by Paul Villard.
1902 Gilbert Lewis proposes ‘cubic model’ of the atom.
1904 Hantaro Nagaoka proposes ‘Saturnian Model’ of the atom.
1904 J.J. Thompson proposes plum pudding model of the atom.
1911 Ernest Rutherford develops, ‘Planetary atomic model’
1913 Neils Bohr develops the modern quantum atomic model
1914 Ernest Rutherford discovers Protons
1920 Rutherford ‘predicts’ existence of the Neutron
1929 Ernest Lawrence invents the Cyclotron
1932 James Chadwick discovers the Neutron
1934 Leo Szilard files a patent for an ‘Atomic Explosive’
1938 Lise Meitner, and Otto Hahn discover Nuclear Fission in Nazi Germany. Meitner, in exile confirms Hahn’s results.

Various atoms and molecules as depicted in John Dalton’s A New System of Chemical Philosophy (1808).
1939 A busy Year

January 1939: Hahn and Strassman publish their findings on fission. Meitner is not mentioned, however is considered by many to be the ‘Mother of Fission’ having interpreted the results of the experiment.

January 1939: Leo Szilard writes to Lewis Strauss, informing of the development of fission. Including it’s applications both as an energy source, and as an explosive device.

By the 26th of January 1939: Frisch in Copenhagen; Joliot in France; Dunning, Slack, and Booth at Columbia University all experimentally confirm the Hahn, Meitner, Strassman findings.

8th of March, 1939: Halban, Joliot, and Kawarski discover further neutrons are emitted in fission.

15th of March, 1939: At Columbia, Fermi, Anderson and Hanstein, and Szilard and Zinn, complete experiments which parallel the French work. Also on this date German troops seize the free remnant of Czechoslovakia.

April, 1939: The Paris team, and the Columbia group independently find that 2 or 3 Neutrons are emitted during fission. Enough to make a chain reaction possible.
April 1939: American, British, French, German, and Russian scientists all approach their respective governments to seek support for fission research and a watch on uranium supplies.

September 1st, 1939: Germany invades Poland, World War II begins in Europe.

Bohr and Wheeler publish theory of fission; they show that only the isotope U-235 will fission more easily than U-238, and is more likely to occur with slow neutrons building on the research of Fermi in the U.S. This is one of the last openly published papers on fission research.

August 2nd, 1939: Szilard drafts, then Einstein signs and delivers a letter to President Roosevelt. In it he indicates the military implications of fission, and uranium. Roosevelt sets up an advisory committee on Uranium.
From 1939 to 1945; a great deal happened. Including the Manhattan Project, and the bombings of Nagasaki, and Hiroshima. We will only deal with issues relating to small, modular, and deployable reactor technology. Some mention of other milestones will be included for reference.

April 1942; (Germany) Werner Heisenberg achieves 13% neutron multiplication in the Leipzig L-IV pile (reactor). The L-IV is driven by a neutron source, and is not a self sustaining fission reaction. As such it is not considered to be the first “Critical” reactor.

June 23rd, 1942; The Leipzig L-IV is destroyed in a fire. The powdered Uranium fuel of the L-IV is contaminated by water leaking into the fuel jacket. A pyrophoric reaction occurs, and Heisenberg’s Leipzig lab is destroyed in a fire. The Leipzig experiments are abandoned in favor of other reactor designs.

December 2nd, 1942; Enrico Fermi achieves criticality in the Chicago Pile, as part of the Manhattan Project.
Also in 1942; Paul Harteck (Germany) perfects the gaseous centrifuge for Uranium enrichment, building on the earlier work of Eric Bagge (Germany). This remains the most prevalent method of Uranium enrichment today.

July 1943; The Kriegsmarine (German Navy) moves the U-boat nuclear propulsion project from Hamburg following American aerial bombardment. Admirals Otto Rhein, and Karl Witzell oversee the project. Physicist Dr. Otto Haxel takes over scientific leadership of the “Oberkommando der Marine” (OKM) nuclear project.

In April 1944 Paul Harteck (Germany) gains funding for industrial scale enrichment of uranium. Orders were placed with BMAG Meguin for production of gaseous uranium centrifuges.
May 7th, 1945 Germany Surrenders.

August 15th, 1945 Japan Surrenders. World War II is officially over.

May 28th, 1946; US Army Airforce undertakes the “Nuclear Energy for the Propulsion of Aircraft” Project.

1953; Under the leadership of Admiral Rickover the first U.S. naval reactor achieves criticality.

1954; The US ARMY Nuclear Power Program (ANPP) begins.

June 27th, 1954; (Soviet Union) The AM-1 the world’s first Civil Nuclear Power Plant is commissioned at Obninsk, Russia (6 MWe). After 48 years of accident-free operation the plant was shut down on the 29th, of April, 2002.

Undated photos of the Obninsk Power plant. Located 102 km’s southwest of Moscow.
June, 1954; Dr. Lyle B. Borst proposes an atomic locomotive. The X-12.

The X-12 was to feature a Uranyl Sulfate liquid fuel reactor. The locomotive was to include ‘on power’, and ‘on board’ reprocessing equipment. Refuelling intervals were projected to be as long as 10 years.

The X-12 was to compete economically with diesel locomotives of the day when amortization of the long fuel cycle was considered. It was never constructed.
Circa 1950’s another Atomic locomotive proposal from West Germany.

Image courtesy of the “Deutsches Museum” [www.deutschesmuseum.de](http://www.deutschesmuseum.de)
July 1955; First flight of the Convair X-6 with a 3 MW thermal air cooled reactor. The reactor is not propulsive, but only for airborne shielding tests.

Convair X-6 Flying reactor test bed

Left: The HTRE-3 reactor showing the relation of the turbines to the reactor.

Right: The HTRE-3 reactor – turbine test stand. (Yes it worked!)
August 12th, 1955; The Council of Ministers (Soviet) issues a mandate for the development of a Nuclear Powered Bomber.

Tupolev TU-95 LaL Flying reactor test bed

An early impression of the Myasischev M-60 Nuclear powered bomber.

Early 1961; Soviet Leadership calls for the cancellation of all Nuclear Aircraft Propulsion projects.
1955; The USS Nautilus, becomes the world’s first nuclear powered submarine.


Left: A ‘November’ on patrol. (Undated photo)
October 1960; The PM2A, 2 MWe, (plus district heating) Achieves criticality, at Camp Century, Greenland. The first "portable" nuclear power reactor. Brought to Greenland in parts, assembled, operated, disassembled, and shipped back.

Far Left: The PM2A core.
Remaining photos are images of the ‘under the ice’ nature of Camp Century.
1959; (Soviet Union) The NS Lenin a Nuclear Powered Icebreaker enters service as the world’s first Nuclear Icebreaker, and the first Nuclear Civilian vessel.

A postcard, and postage stamp featuring the NS Lenin. The Stamp is dated 1978.
January 3rd, 1961; ANPP reactor, SL-1 is destroyed in a suspected suicide by an operator. This reactor was intended for use at Distant Early Warning (DEW) line radar stations in Canada. Due to the incident, and Canadian sensitivities this reactor was never deployed.

1962; The NS Savannah (United States) enters service. The second civilian nuclear vessel, but the first civil nuclear cargo vessel.
Sometime in 1961; The TES-3 concept is commissioned at Obninsk, Russia.

Left: TES-3 Mobile Reactor mounted on four crawler transporters.
Below: TES-3 In deployed, and mobile mode.

The TES-3 was a 2 MWe, and 8.8 MWt reactor. The unit was intended for remote air defence bases. This reactor was light water cooled, and used Highly Enriched Uranium fuel. The unit was intended to be shielded with earthworks at the establishing site. There is no indication this design went into production, or deployment beyond prototype testing.
March 30\textsuperscript{th}, 1961. The ML-1 (U.S. ANPP) reactor goes critical. The reactor could fit into a single box, however the complete system required 6 shipping containers. The ML-1 is described as “the first nitrogen cooled, water moderated reactor with a nitrogen turbine energy conversion system.”

The ML-1 was intended to be delivered by truck, air, rail, or barge.
1962; ANPP reactor PM1 is commissioned at Sundance, Wyoming, and operated by the U.S. Airforce. The Unit powers a radar station providing 1.25 MWe, plus district heating until decommissioning in 1969.

March 1962; The PM3A ANPP (Navy operated) reactor is deployed to McMurdo Station, Antarctica. This reactor continues to operate until 1972 when it is taken out of service due to a leak. The reactor provided 1.75 MW of Electricity, seawater desalination, and district heating. The reactor, facilities, and 7700 cubic meters of contaminated dirt were removed to California on decommissioning in 1972.
March 1962; The SM1A ANPP is deployed to Fort Greely, Alaska. Providing 2 MW Electrical plus district heating. This reactor was decommissioned in 1969. The site suffered several mechanical incidents, including a frozen cooling pipe resulting in large environment discharge of activated water to the environment. The reactor has since been disassembled, and removed from the site.

Image courtesy of Mark Farmer
1967; The MH-1A (ANPP) goes critical. As a ‘barge’ mounted reactor, it was positioned in Panama in 1968, providing 10 MW of electricity, and desalination to the adjacent base. The unit was removed in 1976 with cessation of U.S. zone ownership. This unit was built on the hull of a ‘Liberty ship’ (SS Charles H Cugle), and became the first floating nuclear power plant, renamed “The Sturgis”.

March 28th, 1979; The generating Station at Three Mile Island suffers a partial core meltdown. There is a release of contaminated water from the plant due to operator error, and equipment malfunction, however the containment of the Reactor Vault remains intact.

1979 (approx.); The U.S. Army Nuclear Power Program (ANPP) ends.
1981 (Approx.); The Soviet Union begins work on the Pamir concept. This is a reactor plant built on two off road trucks originally designed to carry mobile ICBM’s. The reactor was designed to produce 650KWe, and use no water. It was cooled with Dinitrogen Tetroxide. During the development 60 emergency shutdowns occurred, resulting in releases of $N_2O_4$, and radioactive particles.

The Pamir Project was cancelled in 1986.
Early 1980’s; The Royal Military College of Canada, works on a proposal to develop an Autonomous Marine Power Source (AMPS). This module was based on Slowpoke (unpressurized) reactor technology, driving an organic Rankine cycle (Freon turbine), to constantly recharge the submarine’s batteries. This module was supplementary to the vessel, it was never meant to replace the diesels of the sub.

1984; **International Submarine Transportation Systems Ltd** is formed in Canada; a consortium of four companies. The French Institute for Exploration and Exploitation of the Seas (Ifremer) 25%, COMEX SA a French underwater salvage company 25%, International Submarine Engineering Ltd owning 45%, ECS Energy Conversion Systems Inc owning 5%. ISTS proceeds to jointly develop a civil submarine powered by the AMPS concept. This project is built on the hull of an existing submersible. The craft is named the “SAGA-N”.

In 1987; The project was cancelled due to a tax dispute over a research grant.
26\textsuperscript{th}, of April 1986; The Chernobyl disaster occurs, near the Ukrainian town of Pripyat. During a test of emergency equipment the cooling pump’s power supply is turned off, subsequently nearly all the control rods are removed from the reactor by an operator. The reactor power reaches 12,000\%, a steam explosion occurs destroying the reactor vessel and most of the plant.

The fuel melts, and partially homogenises with the reactor’s graphite moderator. The melted fuel/graphite mass melts through the remaining reactor vessel, and into the basement of the plant. The reactor building is partially destroyed by the steam explosion, the ensuing fire then spews radioactive particles, and steam out of the building and into the environment. Radiation detectors throughout the northern hemisphere detect fallout from the reactor catastrophe.
1988; Atomic Energy Canada Limited proposes and partially develops the AECL Nuclear Battery.

The AECL nuclear battery was to use low enriched uranium, and was graphite moderated. It was designed to be inherently safe (Hands off). It was passively cooled with heat pipes, and with its low power density would not have enough energy to raise the core temperature high enough to allow any damage to the core or fuel assemblies. Further enhancing safety the design featured ‘doppler broadening’ which reduces the likelihood of fission as the core temperature rises. Thus if the core temperature exceeded engineered limits, the rate of fission would slow and lower heat output of the reactor.

This reactor was expected to operate for 15 full power years as a sealed unit, producing 630 KW of electricity.
In the mid 2000’s the price oil rose above $80US a barrel.

In 2005; Al Gore released his “An inconvenient truth” documentary.

In July of 2008; Oil peaked at $147US a barrel.
In 2007, the Territory of Nunavut spent $237 Million (CAD) on fuel, and fuel subsidies. -Source Canadian Press 15 July, 2008

In 2006 the population of Nunavut was 29,474.

This was before the oil spike in July of 2008.
The town of Resolute Bay from a hill overlooking the bay
Photo: G. Osinski, Canadian Space Agency
What sort of new capabilities would an operator have to add to service this area?

Heavy Airlift, and Rapid Airlift
What if…?

Terrorists tried to steal nuclear materials from one of these sites? What if they got in and blocked the runway? How would your security response work?

All of the prospective vendors have developed ‘hardened’ access, and designed their reactors to make it difficult to remove materials at the site. In some cases the reactors are actually buried great distances underground, and feature sealed vessels. This is without considering the deadly fields coming off of the materials themselves which would require special, and extensive handling facilities to remove from the site. It would also be important to remember that an initial response need only prevent these parties from leaving the site with the materials.

All of the sites would require monitoring in real time, security patrols, and inspections at regular intervals.
What if…?

There was an emergency?

In nearly every small reactor product, the core contains a relative low energy density. Further nearly all of the designs that could compete in this market feature inherent passive safety. In layman’s terms this is known as ‘hands off’ safety.

This means that even if you did nothing during a unexpected event, no failure would occur. In some cases the products cannot be made to fail even under malicious attempts. A number of the new generation small reactors rely on something called Doppler Broadening to reduce their reactivity as the temperature goes up.

Chinergy, a developer of a pebble fuel reactor in China, recently removed all the control rods, and turned off all the cooling in one of their prototype reactors. They let it sit in this state for days. The temperature of the core never exceeded design limits.


Additionally new fuel technology (Triso for example), has raised the failure temperature of fuel assemblies to very high temperatures. These new encapsulated particle fuel designs do not permit anything to escape from the fuel, and are very resistant to damage, and deformation.
Emergency and Security Preparedness

The final word…

A fleet operator will have to provide security, and emergency response to support a given fleet of small reactors. Environmental monitoring, and emergent response would also be required.

This could only be accomplished over the great distances, and in these isolated locations with centrally coordinated air mobility, and remote monitoring.

Pre-deployment kits, for security, environmental, and emergency situations would need to be assembled, and inventoried for immediate deployment by aircraft. The pre-deployment kits would be similar in nature to the Canadian Forces Major Air Disaster Kit. The ‘Majaid’ kit is stored in air deployable containers, and can be air dropped. It contains a nearly complete field hospital, in addition to other materiel useful during a major air disaster.

This permits extremely large, and forceful responses in a wide geographical area, even if suitable airports were not accessible, or serviceable.

A Hercules aircraft drops the major air disaster (MAJAIID) kit at the simulated crash site near Comox during Arctic SAREX 07.
Total Energy Cycle…

What does it mean? How do we do it?

A fuelled micro reactor is delivered to site and commissioned.

Electricity is generated and distributed at the site.

Waste heat is collected, distributed, and heat pumped at the consumer.

Excess heat and power is used in greenhouses, to produce foodstuffs, and Jatropha biodiesel.

This sort of local energy cycle could provide some value added economic benefits through the export of excess foodstuffs, Biodiesel, Hydrogen, Synthetic petroleums, and radioisotopes from the spent reactor.

At the end of it’s fuel cycle the reactor is returned to the operator for refuelling. A new reactor is delivered.

The Hydrogen is used to capture and Process atmospheric CO2 into synthetic petroleum fuels. (Gasoline, Diesel, jet fuel, Ammonia)

Electricity is used to produce Hydrogen (H2), with Oxygen (O2) as a byproduct

Electricity and heat is used in the Biodiesel production process. Additionally Glycerine, and fertilizer is produced as a byproduct.
Secondary applications

Rapid one off prototyping, production and 3D printing, prototyping, and construction for consumer goods, and shelter.

(Laser sintering, boutique chemical production, micro ore processing, micro chemical processing)

The additional use of off demand power base for the production of valuable minerals, and chemical stocks through aqueous mining for export from the community.
Secondary applications

3D printing of unique or one off products.

Boutique manufacturing of consumer goods.

The development of seawater mining technology as a suitable loadsink, and exportable industry.
Total Energy Cycle…
What does it mean? How do we do it?

Electrical Production and distribution

Carried out in the conventional manner (Mostly).
(We will not examine it here)
Total Energy Cycle…

What does it mean? How do we do it?

“KalteFermewarmen” or Cold District Heating

The waste heatsink fluid is heat pumped at the customer.

Core cooling, Condenser cooling, all sub 100c waste heat.

Beznau NPP Switzerland

Refuna District heat network

*Beznau*, and *Refuna* are a conventional steam based district heating system. They are included here as examples of existing Nuclear power based district heating.
Total Energy Cycle…
What does it mean? How do we do it?

Greenhouse Production
Foodstuffs, and Biodiesel

August 3, 2007

Greenhouse gives Iqaluit fresh produce

“This is what romaine lettuce should taste like.”

JOHN THOMPSON

Iqaluit may be experiencing a mostly cold and dreary summer, but there’s one spot in town where it’s sensible to wear shorts and a t-shirt: the newly-opened community greenhouse.

Peter Workman waters tomatoes inside Iqaluit’s community greenhouse on Sunday, July 29. (PHOTO BY JOHN THOMPSON)
Total Energy Cycle…
What does it mean? How do we do it?

World’s-First Biofuel Test Flight

The world's first commercial aviation test flight powered by a sustainable second-generation biofuel took place on Tuesday 30 December, 2008 by Air New Zealand.

Why is this important?

In the far North, Air Mobility is Vital and forms a portion of the pricing of nearly everything.
Total Energy Cycle...
What does it mean? How do we do it?

Hydrogen

Hydrogen is of itself an energy carrier, but the energy density is low and new fuel handling, and distribution systems would need to be built for use. Further there are few motive sources which can use Hydrogen as a direct fuel source.

Hydrogen is an energy carrier, it is not a source of energy!!!
Hydrogen economy? Hey we still need the carbon!

Recently a new CO2 capture technology has been developed at the University of Calgary. This technology is expected to capture 10 tons of high purity CO2, with one Megawatt hour of Electricity.

Nothing else we have looked at is this efficient.

Dr. Keith has overseen the startup of his company Carbon Engineering with a commercial interest in Atmospheric CO2 capture.

Dr. David Keith with his ground breaking CO2 from atmosphere capture technology. c2008

(With permission per D.Keith)
Total Energy Cycle…
What does it mean? How do we do it?

Synthetic fuels from atmospheric CO2
(The opposite of Global Warming…sort of)

The Majority of the remainder of the presentation will be based on

**United States Patent 4,568,522**

[54] SYNFUEL PRODUCTION SHIP
[75] Inventor: Marshall J. Corbett, East Northport, N.Y.
[73] Assignee: Grumman Aerospace Corporation, Bethpage, N.Y.
[21] Appl. No.: 417,309
[22] Filed: Sep. 13, 1982
Total Energy Cycle…

What does it mean? How do we do it?

Synthetic fuels from atmospheric CO2
Total Energy Cycle...
What does it mean? How do we do it?

Synthetic fuels from atmospheric CO2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYN. GAS COMPONENT</th>
<th>PROCESS &amp; EQUATION</th>
<th>EQUIPMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) HYDROGEN</td>
<td>ELECTROLYSIS OF WATER $2H_2O \rightarrow 2H_2 + O_2$</td>
<td>ELECTROLYTIC CELLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) CARBON DIOXIDE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— SODIUM HYPOCARBONATE &amp; SODIUM BICARBONATE</td>
<td>CO$_2$ ABSORPTION $2NaOH + CO_2 \rightarrow Na_2CO_3 + H_2O$</td>
<td>SPRAY ABSORPTION TOWERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) — CARBON DIOXIDE</td>
<td>CO$_2$ STRIPPING $Na_2CO_3 + Cl_2 \rightarrow NaCl + NaOCl + CO_2$</td>
<td>STRIPPING TOWER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) — SODIUM CHLORIDE</td>
<td>OXYGEN SEPARATOR $2NaOCl \rightarrow 2NaCl + O_2$</td>
<td>BOILER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) — CAUSTIC/CHLORINE</td>
<td>CHLOR-ALKALI ELECTROLYSIS $2H_2O + 2NaCl \rightarrow 2NaOH + Cl_2 + H_2$</td>
<td>ELECTROLYTIC CELLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) METHANOL</td>
<td>CO$_2 + 3H_2 \rightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O$</td>
<td>METHANOL SYN.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) GASOLINE</td>
<td>$nCH_3OH \rightarrow (CH_2)_n + nH_2O$</td>
<td>HYDROCARBON SYN.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2
Total Energy Cycle…
What does it mean? How do we do it?

Synthetic fuels from atmospheric CO2

The Grumman patent estimated that it would produce 600,000 gallons of Gasoline per day from two 860MWe Reactors.

(This is very similar in size to a pair of Bruce/Darlington style CANDUs).

On a simple linear scale, one would assume 3488 Gallons (13184 liters) per day of Gasoline from a 10 Megawatt installation.

The Grumman Patent is an old process (1982). There are newer, better, more efficient ways to accomplish this today. This example was used as it is one of the easiest to explain.
Total Energy Cycle…

What does it mean? How do we do it?

There are other easier, less energy intensive synthetic fuels we can also produce.

- Methane (Natural Gas) CH4; CNG and LNG
- Dimethyl Either (DME)
- Alcohol family related fuels, (Methanol)
- Ethanes, Acetones, synthetic

However cars, trucks, ships, and generators do not run on these fuels without modifications.
Hey but what are these RTG things you mentioned?

An RTG is a Radioisotopic thermal generator.

It is (Generally) an object made of a specific element, or chemical makeup. Which is irradiated in a reactor (bred up), to form new elements, usually unstable ones.

These unstable elements want to become stable. We refer to this as decay. The Radioisotopes decay by different processes to give off sub atomic particles and energies such as heat to become stable elements. This process can take years.

As such these are often referred to as heat batteries, or nuclear batteries. They usually require a nuclear reactor or powerful neutron source to breed them up.
Hey but what are these RTG things you mentioned?

Why aren’t they used all the time?

Strong radiation fields depending on the element used.

Radiolytic reactions causing the production of unwanted Hydrogen and Oxygen. (Or other unwanted products depending on the thermomechanical cycle).

Poor thermoelectric performance due to the use of Thermocouples (solid state generator) for electricity production.

Size. These generators are typically small. Being only a few kilowatts thermally.
Hey but what are these RTG things you mentioned?

Well isn’t there some use for them here on earth?

The Soviet union deployed many of these RTG units in automated lighthouse projects. However with the collapse of the Soviet Union many of these lighthouses were no longer serviced and the radioactive sources were lost.
Hey but what are these RTG things you mentioned?

Yes, but we’re not in the Soviet Union…

We actually have many uses for heat, hydrogen and Oxygen here on earth.

The University of New Brunswick was previously working on a new modernized land based Terrestrial RTG.

Also many of the aforementioned isolated communities in the north have energy demands far too low to be serviced by even the smallest SMR.

Oil sands, marine operators, Northern ports, de-icing, coal to fuel operations, Heavy oil upgrading….

All require heat, and/or hydrogen, and Oxygen.
So what does all this mean to residents of the North?
Lower cost electricity, heating, food, fuels, shipping, and **Air Transport**.

Value added services moved to the community, and **possibly some export of those value added goods**.

Local Employment, new incomes, improved self sufficiency and, increased standards of living.
Total energy supply for remote Human Habitations
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